

NO. 12-11-00351-CR

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT

TYLER, TEXAS

| | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------|
| <i>DANNY DALE WEISINGER, SR., APPELLANT</i> | § | <i>APPEAL FROM THE 349TH</i> |
| <i>V.</i> | § | <i>JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT</i> |
| <i>THE STATE OF TEXAS, APPELLEE</i> | § | <i>HOUSTON COUNTY, TEXAS</i> |

***MEMORANDUM OPINION
PER CURIAM***

Appellant Danny Dale Weisinger Sr. attempts to appeal a postconviction order denying his motions for judgment nunc pro tunc and appointment of counsel.

As a general rule, an appeal in a criminal case may be taken only from a judgment of conviction. *See Workman v. State*, 170 Tex. Crim. 621, 622, 343 S.W.2d 446, 447 (Tex. Crim. App. 1961). However, there are certain narrow exceptions. *Wright v. State*, 969 S.W.2d 588, 589 (Tex. App.–Dallas 1998, no pet.) (listing exceptions). The order Appellant complains of is not a judgment of conviction nor does it fall within any exception to the general rule. Therefore, we have no jurisdiction over the appeal.

On November 8, 2011, this court notified Appellant that the information received in this appeal does not include a final judgment or other appealable order and therefore does not show the jurisdiction of this court. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 37.2. Appellant was further notified that the appeal would be dismissed unless the information was amended on or before December 8, 2011, to show the jurisdiction of this court. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 44.3. However, the December 8 deadline has passed, and Appellant has not shown the jurisdiction of this court.

Because Appellant has not shown the jurisdiction of this court, the appeal is *dismissed for*

want of jurisdiction. See TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(a).

Opinion delivered December 21, 2011.

Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.

(DO NOT PUBLISH)