NO. 12-11-00392-CR

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT

TYLER, TEXAS

TIMOTHY NEIL GUINN, APPELLANT	Ş	APPEAL FROM THE
V.	§	COUNTY COURT AT LAW
THE STATE OF TEXAS, APPELLEE	Ş	SMITH COUNTY, TEXAS

MEMORANDUM OPINION PER CURIAM

This appeal is being dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Appellant attempts to appeal a default judgment in a bond forfeiture case.

As pertinent here, Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.2 provides that an appeal is perfected when notice of appeal is filed within thirty days after the trial court enters an appealable order. Tex. R. App. P. 26.2(a)(1). The trial court entered the complained of default judgment on August 8, 2011. Therefore, Appellant's notice of appeal was due to have been filed on or before September 7, 2011. However, Appellant did not file his notice of appeal until December 9, 2011, and did not file a motion for extension of time to file his notice of appeal as permitted by Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.3. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 26.3 (appellate court may extend time for filing notice of appeal if, within fifteen days after deadline for filing notice of appeal, appellant files notice of appeal in trial court and motion complying with Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 10.5(b) in appellate court).

On December 16, 2011, this court notified Appellant that his notice of appeal was untimely and that there was no timely motion for an extension of time to file the notice of appeal as permitted by Rule 26.3. Appellant was further informed that the appeal would be dismissed

unless, on or before December 27, 2011, the information filed in this appeal was amended to show the jurisdiction of this court. The deadline has passed, and Appellant has not shown the jurisdiction of this court.

Because this court has no authority to allow the late filing of a notice of appeal except as provided by Rule 26.3, the appeal must be dismissed. *See Slaton v. State*, 981 S.W.2d 208, 210 (Tex. Crim. App. 1998); *Olivo v. State*, 918 S.W.2d 519, 522 (Tex. Crim. App. 1996). Accordingly, the appeal is *dismissed for want of jurisdiction*.

Opinion delivered December 30, 2011.

 $Panel\ consisted\ of\ Worthen,\ C.J.,\ Griffith,\ J.,\ and\ Hoyle,\ J.$

(DO NOT PUBLISH)