NO. 12-12-00007-CV

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT

TYLER, TEXAS

IN THE INTEREST	\$	APPEAL FROM THE 294TH
OF L.M. AND S.H.,	ş	JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
CHILDREN	ş	VAN ZANDT COUNTY, TEXAS

MEMORANDUM OPINION PER CURIAM

This appeal is being dismissed because Appellant has failed to comply with the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3. Pursuant to Rule 32.1, Appellant's docketing statement was due to have been filed at the time the appeal was perfected, i.e., January 6, 2012. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 32.1. Because Appellant did not file the docketing statement at that time, this court requested by letter dated January 9, 2012, that she file her docketing statement within ten days if she had not already done so. Appellant did not file the docketing statement as requested.

In a second notice dated January 30, 2012, the court advised Appellant that the docketing statement was past due. The notice further provided that unless the docketing statement was filed on or before February 9, 2012, the appeal would be presented for dismissal in accordance with Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 42.3. The February 9 deadline has passed, and Appellant has not complied with the court's request. Because Appellant has failed, after notice, to comply with Rule 32.1, the appeal is *dismissed*. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(c).

Opinion delivered February 29, 2012. Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle

(PUBLISH)



COURT OF APPEALS TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT OF TEXAS JUDGMENT

FEBRUARY 29, 2012

NO. 12-12-000074-CV

IN THE INTEREST OF L.M. AND S.H., CHILDREN

Appeal from the 294th Judicial District Court of Van Zandt County, Texas. (Tr.Ct.No. 10-00533)

THIS CAUSE came to be heard on the appellate record; and the same

being considered, it is the opinion of this court the appeal should be dismissed.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by this court that

this appeal be, and the same is, hereby **dismissed**; and that this decision be certified to the court below for observance.

By per curiam opinion. Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.