# NO. 12-12-00075-CV

# IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

# TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT

# **TYLER, TEXAS**

IN DE.	S	APPEAL FROM THE 3RD
IN RE: JOHN CLOUD	§	JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
JOHN CLOUD	Ş	ANDERSON COUNTY, TEXAS

# MEMORANDUM OPINION PER CURIAM

Appellant, John Cloud, attempts to appeal from an order denying his motion to appear before the grand jury of Anderson County, Texas. As a general rule, an appeal in a criminal case may be taken only from a judgment of conviction. *See Workman v. State*, 170 Tex. Crim. 621, 622, 343 S.W.2d 446, 447 (Tex. Crim. App.1961). However, there are certain narrow exceptions to this rule. *Wright v. State*, 969 S.W.2d 588, 589 (Tex. App.-Dallas 1998, no pet.) (listing exceptions). The order Appellant complains of is not a judgment of conviction nor does it fall within any exception to the general rule. Therefore, we have no jurisdiction over the appeal.

On March 6, 2012, this court notified Appellant that the information received in this appeal does not include a final judgment or other appealable order and therefore does not show the jurisdiction of this court. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 37.2. Appellant was further notified that the appeal would be dismissed unless the information was amended on or before April 5, 2012, to show the jurisdiction of this court. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 44.3. Appellant responded to this court's March 6, 2012 notice, but did not show the jurisdiction of this court. Accordingly, the appeal is *dismissed for want of jurisdiction*. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(a).

Opinion delivered April 4, 2012.

Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.

(PUBLISH)



# COURT OF APPEALS TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT OF TEXAS JUDGMENT

**APRIL 4, 2012** 

NO. 12-12-00075-CV

IN RE: JOHN CLOUD

Appeal from the 3rd Judicial District Court of Anderson County, Texas. (Tr.Ct.No. 3-41358)

THIS CAUSE came to be heard on the appellate record; and the same being considered, it is the opinion of this court that this court is without jurisdiction of the appeal, and that the appeal should be dismissed.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by this court that this appeal be, and the same is, hereby **dismissed for want of jurisdiction**; and that this decision be certified to the court below for observance.

By per curiam opinion.

Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.