NOS. 12-12-00078-CR 12-12-00079-CR

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT

TYLER, TEXAS

IN RE:	Ş	
JAMES W. HUGGLER, JR.	Ş	ORIGINAL PROCEEDING
RELATOR	Ş	

MEMORANDUM OPINION PER CURIAM

On March 2, 2012, this Court initiated contempt proceedings against James W. Huggler, Jr., for failing to obey its January 23, 2012 orders in which it was ordered that Huggler file a brief in cause numbers 12-11-000147-CR, 12-11-00148-CR, and 12-11-00149-CR on or before February 23, 2012, and in cause number 12-11-00177-CR on or before February 27, 2012. Huggler was ordered to appear before this court at 10:00 a.m. on March 19, 2012, prepared to show cause to this Court why he should not be held in contempt for failing to obey this Court's orders of January 23, 2012. Huggler presented the subject briefs to this Court for filing on March 9, 2012, and March 13, 2012, respectively. He then appeared in person and with counsel of record at 10:00 a.m. on March 19, 2012 as ordered.

At the conclusion of the hearing, the Court found Huggler in contempt for violating the January 23, 2012 orders. As punishment, the Court assessed a fine of \$500.00, being \$250.00 for each violation, payable to the Clerk of the Twelfth Court of Appeals on or before April 18, 2012, at 12:00 noon. Huggler's briefs were then filed as presented.

Huggler has timely paid the fine assessed. Because Huggler has purged himself of his contempt, these original proceedings are *dismissed*.

Opinion delivered April 4, 2012. Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.

(DO NOT PUBLISH)



COURT OF APPEALS TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT OF TEXAS JUDGMENT

APRIL 4, 2012

NOS. 12-12-00078-CR 12-12-00079-CR

IN RE: JAMES W. HUGGLER, JR., Relator

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING

ON THIS DAY came to be considered the original contempt proceeding against attorney James W. Huggler, Jr., the relator in Cause Nos. 12-12-00078-CR and 12-12-00079-CR, pending on the docket of the 12th Court of Appeals District, Tyler, Texas. Because James W. Huggler, Jr. has purged himself of his contempt, this original proceeding is *dismissed*.

By per curiam opinion. Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.