NO. 12-12-00146-CV

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT

TYLER, TEXAS

CHRISTOPHER K. TURNER, APPELLANT	§	APPEAL FROM THE 87TH
V.	§	JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
T.D.C.JI.D., MICHAEL UNIT, AD SEG., APPELLEE	§	ANDERSON COUNTY, TEXAS

MEMORANDUM OPINION PER CURIAM

This appeal is being dismissed for failure to comply with the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3. Pursuant to Rule 32.1, Appellant's docketing statement was due to have been filed at the time the appeal was perfected, i.e., April 5, 2012. On April 19, 2012, this court requested that Appellant file a docketing statement immediately if he had not already done so.

Because Appellant did not file the docketing statement as requested in the April 19, 2012 notice, this court issued a second notice on May 7, 2012, advising Appellant that the docketing statement was past due. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 32.1. The notice further provided that unless the docketing statement was filed on or before May 17, 2012, the appeal would be presented for dismissal in accordance with Texas Rule of Appellate Procedure 42.3. The date for filing the docketing statement has passed, and Appellant has not complied with the court's request.

Because Appellant has failed, after notice, to comply with Rule 32.1, the appeal is *dismissed*. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(c).

Opinion delivered May 31, 2012.

Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.

(PUBLISH)



COURT OF APPEALS TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT OF TEXAS JUDGMENT

MAY 31, 2012

NO. 12-12-00146-CV

CHRISTOPHER K. TURNER,

Appellant

v.

T.D.C.J.-I.D., MICHAEL UNIT, AD SEG.,

Appellee

Appeal from the 87th District Court of Anderson County, Texas. (Tr.Ct.No. 87-11013)

THIS CAUSE came to be heard on the appellate record; and the same being considered, it is the opinion of this court the appeal should be dismissed.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by this court that this appeal be, and the same is, hereby **dismissed**; and that this decision be certified to the court below for observance.

By per curiam opinion.

Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.