

NO. 12-12-00188-CV

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT

TYLER, TEXAS

*ROBERT LAFAYETTE WALKER,* § *APPEAL FROM THE 114TH*  
*APPELLANT*

V. § *JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT*

*THE STATE OF TEXAS,* § *SMITH COUNTY, TEXAS*  
*APPELLEE*

---

---

*MEMORANDUM OPINION*  
*PER CURIAM*

Appellant Robert Lafayette Walker attempts to appeal the denial of his motion “requesting garnishment due process before removing money property from defendant appellant’s inmates trust fund account.”

On June 6, 2012, this court notified Appellant that the information received in this appeal does not include a final judgment or other appealable order signed by the trial court judge and therefore does not show the jurisdiction of this court. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 37.2. Appellant was further notified that the appeal would be dismissed unless the information was amended on or before July 6, 2012, to show the jurisdiction of this court. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 44.3. The July 6 deadline has now passed, and Appellant has neither shown the jurisdiction of this court or otherwise responded to its June 6, 2012 notice. Accordingly, the appeal is *dismissed for want of jurisdiction*. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(a).

Opinion delivered July 18, 2012.

*Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.*

(PUBLISH)



**COURT OF APPEALS  
TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
JUDGMENT**

**JULY 18, 2012**

**NO. 12-12-00188-CV**

**ROBERT LAFAYETTE WALKER,**

Appellant

V.

**THE STATE OF TEXAS,**

Appellee

---

---

Appeal from the 114th Judicial District Court  
of Smith County, Texas. (Tr.Ct.No. 114-1754-10)

---

---

THIS CAUSE came to be heard on the appellate record; and the same being considered, it is the opinion of this court that this court is without jurisdiction of the appeal, and that the appeal should be dismissed.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by this court that this appeal be, and the same is, hereby **dismissed for want of jurisdiction**; and that this decision be certified to the court below for observance.

By *per curiam* opinion.

*Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.*