NO. 12-12-00222-CR

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT

TYLER, TEXAS

MICHAEL JAMES JACKSON, APPELLANT	Ş	APPEAL FROM THE 7TH
V.	§	JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
THE STATE OF TEXAS, APPELLEE	§	SMITH COUNTY, TEXAS

MEMORANDUM OPINION PER CURIAM

Pursuant to a plea bargain, Appellant pleaded guilty to possession of a firearm by a felon. In accordance with the terms of the plea bargain, the trial court sentenced Appellant to imprisonment for twelve years and ordered that this sentence run concurrently with Appellant's sentence in a parole revocation. Appellant filed a notice of appeal.

We have received the trial court's certification showing that this is a plea bargain case and Appellant has no right to appeal. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 25.2(d). The certification is signed by Appellant and his trial counsel. The clerk's record supports the trial court's certification. *See Dears v. State*, 154 S.W.3d 610, 615 (Tex Crim. App. 2005). The clerk's record also shows that in conjunction with his guilty plea, Appellant waived his right to appeal. Based on the trial court's certification and our review of the clerk's record, we conclude that this court does not have jurisdiction of the appeal, and the appeal must be dismissed. Accordingly, the appeal is *dismissed*.

Opinion delivered July 11, 2012. *Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.*

(DO NOT PUBLISH)



COURT OF APPEALS TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT OF TEXAS JUDGMENT

JULY 11, 2012

NO. 12-12-00222-CR

MICHAEL JAMES JACKSON,

Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,

Appellee

Appeal from the 7th Judicial District Court of Smith County, Texas. (Tr.Ct.No. 007-0096-12)

THIS CAUSE came to be heard on the appellate record; and the same being considered, it is the opinion of this court that this court is without jurisdiction of the appeal, and that the appeal should be dismissed.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by this court that this appeal be, and the same is, hereby **dismissed**; and that this decision be certified to the court below for observance.

By per curiam opinion.

Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.