## NOS. 12-12-00323-CR 12-12-00324-CR

#### **IN THE COURT OF APPEALS**

### **TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT**

### **TYLER, TEXAS**

BRYAN G. WILSON, APPELLANT	\$	APPEALS FROM THE 411TH
<i>V</i> .	Ş	JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
THE STATE OF TEXAS, APPELLEE	ş	TRINITY COUNTY, TEXAS

#### MEMORANDUM OPINION PER CURIAM

Appellant Bryan G. Wilson attempts to appeal the trial court's denial of his open records request, which we construe as an attempt to obtain a free record in connection with his pursuit of postconviction habeas corpus relief.

On September 25, 2012, we notified Appellant that the information in these appeals does not contain a final judgment or appealable order. We further informed Appellant, pursuant to Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure 37.2 and 44.3, that the appeals would be dismissed unless the information was amended, on or before October 25, 2012, to show the jurisdiction of this court. *See In re Handy*, No. 01-00-01059-CV, 2000 WL 1511711, at \*1 (Tex. App.–Houston [1st Dist.] October 12, 2000, orig. proceeding) (not designated for publication) (stating that indigent criminal defendant is not entitled to free clerk's record or reporter's record once he has exhausted state appeals absent some compelling, recognized reason nor is he entitled to one under Texas Public Information Act).

The October 25 deadline has passed, and Appellant has not shown this court's jurisdiction

or otherwise responded to its September 25 notice. Accordingly, the appeals are *dismissed for want of jurisdiction*. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 43.2(f), 44.3.

Opinion delivered October 31, 2012. Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.

#### (DO NOT PUBLISH)



# COURT OF APPEALS TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT OF TEXAS JUDGMENT

**OCTOBER 31, 2012** 

NOS. 12-12-00323-CR 12-12-00324-CR

BRYAN G. WILSON,

Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,

Appellee

Appeals from the 411th Judicial District Court of Trinity County, Texas. (Tr.Ct.Nos.8427; 8469)

THESE CAUSES came to be heard on the appellate record; and the same

being considered, it is the opinion of this court that this court is without jurisdiction of these appeals, and that these appeals should be dismissed.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by this court that these appeals be, and the same is, hereby **dismissed for want of jurisdiction**; and that this decision be certified to the court below for observance.

> By per curiam opinion. Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Griffith, J., and Hoyle, J.