NOS. 12-16-00267-CR 12-16-00268-CR 12-16-00269-CR

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT

TYLER, TEXAS

TRACY RAY GIBSON,
APPELLANT

V.
\$ JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
APPELLEE \$ NACOGDOCHES COUNTY, TEXAS

MEMORANDUM OPINION PER CURIAM

This appeal is being dismissed for want of jurisdiction. Appellant was convicted of three counts of robbery.

In a criminal case, the notice of appeal must be filed within thirty days after sentence is imposed or within ninety days after that date if a motion for new trial is filed. Tex. R. App. P. 26.2(a). Appellant's sentence was imposed on April 5, 2016, and he did not file a motion for new trial. Therefore, his notice of appeal was due to have been filed no later than May 5, 2016. However, Appellant did not file his notice of appeal until September 21, 2016. Because Appellant's notice of appeal was not filed on or before May 5, 2016, it was untimely, and this Court has no jurisdiction of the appeal.

On September 22, 2016, this Court notified Appellant, pursuant to Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure 37.1 and 42.3, that his notice of appeal was untimely and there was no timely motion for an extension of time to file the notice of appeal. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 26.2(a)(1), 26.3. Appellant was further informed that the appeal would be dismissed unless, on or before October 7, 2016, the information in this appeal was amended to show the jurisdiction

of this Court. The October 7 deadline has passed, and Appellant has not shown the jurisdiction of this Court.

Because this Court is not authorized to extend the time for perfecting an appeal except as provided by Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure 26.1 and 26.3, the appeal is *dismissed for want of jurisdiction*. *See* TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(a).

Opinion delivered October 12, 2016.

Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Hoyle, J., and Neeley, J.

(DO NOT PUBLISH)



COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT OF TEXAS

JUDGMENT

OCTOBER 12, 2016

NO. 12-16-00267-CR

TRACY RAY GIBSON,
Appellant
V.
THE STATE OF TEXAS,
Appellee

Appeal from the 145th District Court of Nacogdoches County, Texas (Tr.Ct.No. F149622007)

THIS CAUSE came to be heard on the appellate record; and the same being considered, it is the opinion of this court that this court is without jurisdiction of the appeal, and that the appeal should be dismissed.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by this court that this appeal be, and the same is, hereby **dismissed for want of jurisdiction**; and that this decision be certified to the court below for observance.

By per curiam opinion.

Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Hoyle, J. and Neeley, J.



COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT OF TEXAS

JUDGMENT

OCTOBER12, 2016

NO. 12-16-00268-CR

TRACY RAY GIBSON,
Appellant
V.
THE STATE OF TEXAS,
Appellee

Appeal from the 145th District Court of Nacogdoches County, Texas (Tr.Ct.No. F149632007)

THIS CAUSE came to be heard on the appellate record; and the same being considered, it is the opinion of this court that this court is without jurisdiction of the appeal, and that the appeal should be dismissed.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by this court that this appeal be, and the same is, hereby **dismissed for want of jurisdiction**; and that this decision be certified to the court below for observance.

By per curiam opinion.

Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Hoyle, J. and Neeley, J.



COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT OF TEXAS

JUDGMENT

OCTOBER12, 2016

NO. 12-16-00269-CR

TRACY RAY GIBSON,
Appellant
V.
THE STATE OF TEXAS,
Appellee

Appeal from the 145th District Court of Nacogdoches County, Texas (Tr.Ct.No. F149642007)

THIS CAUSE came to be heard on the appellate record; and the same being considered, it is the opinion of this court that this court is without jurisdiction of the appeal, and that the appeal should be dismissed.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by this court that this appeal be, and the same is, hereby **dismissed for want of jurisdiction**; and that this decision be certified to the court below for observance.

By per curiam opinion.

Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Hoyle, J. and Neeley, J.