## NO. 12-18-00005-CR

## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

## TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT

# **TYLER, TEXAS**

SHAD MICHAEL MCNULTY,
APPELLANT

V. 
\$ JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
APPELLEE \$ SMITH COUNTY, TEXAS

## MEMORANDUM OPINION PER CURIAM

Shad Michael McNulty appeals the trial court's judgment revoking his community supervision. Appellant's counsel filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396, 18 L. Ed. 2d 493 (1967), and *Gainous v. State*, 436 S.W.2d 137 (Tex. Crim. App. 1969). We affirm.

## **BACKGROUND**

Appellant was charged by indictment with assault family violence with a previous conviction. Pursuant to a plea agreement with the State, he pleaded "guilty," and the trial court deferred a finding of guilt and placed him on community supervision for a term of five years. Subsequently, the State filed a motion to adjudicate guilt. Appellant pleaded "true" to numerous allegations in the motion and "not true" to one allegation. After a hearing, the trial court found all the allegations true, found Appellant guilty, and assessed his punishment at imprisonment for nine years. This appeal followed.

#### ANALYSIS PURSUANT TO ANDERS V. CALIFORNIA

Appellant's counsel filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California* and *Gainous v.*State. Appellant's counsel relates that he has reviewed the record and found no reversible error or

jurisdictional defects. In compliance with *High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 812 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1978), Appellant's brief contains a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced.<sup>1</sup>

We have considered counsel's brief and conducted our own independent review of the record. *Id.* at 811. We have found no reversible error.

#### **CONCLUSION**

As required by *Anders* and *Stafford v. State*, 813 S.W.2d 503, 511 (Tex. Crim. App. 1991), Appellant's counsel has moved for leave to withdraw. *See also In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d 403, 407 (Tex. Crim. App. 2008) (orig. proceeding). We carried the motion for consideration with the merits. Having done so, we agree with Appellant's counsel that the appeal is wholly frivolous. Accordingly, we *grant* counsel's motion for leave to withdraw and *affirm* the judgment of the trial court.

Appellant's counsel has a duty to, within five days of the date of this opinion, send a copy of the opinion and judgment to Appellant and advise him of his right to file a petition for discretionary review. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 48.4; *In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d at 411 n.35. Should Appellant wish to seek review of these cases by the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals, he must either retain an attorney to file a petition for discretionary review on his behalf or he must file a pro se petition for discretionary review. Any petition for discretionary review must be filed within thirty days from the date of this court's judgment or the date the last timely motion for rehearing was overruled by this court. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 68.2(a). Any petition for discretionary review must be filed with the Texas Court of Criminal Appeals. *See* Tex. R. App. P. 68.3(a). Any petition for discretionary review should comply with the requirements of Rule 68.4 of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure. *See In re Schulman*, 252 S.W.3d at 408 n.22.

Opinion delivered October 10, 2018.

Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Hoyle, J., and Neeley, J.

#### (DO NOT PUBLISH)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In compliance with *Kelly v. State*, Appellant's counsel provided Appellant with a copy of the brief, notified Appellant of his motion to withdraw as counsel, informed Appellant of his right to file a pro se response, and took concrete measures to facilitate Appellant's review of the appellate record. 436 S.W.3d 313, 319 (Tex. Crim. App. 2014). Appellant was given time to file his own brief. The time for filing such a brief has expired and no pro se brief has been filed.



# **COURT OF APPEALS**

# TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT OF TEXAS

# **JUDGMENT**

**OCTOBER 10, 2018** 

NO. 12-18-00005-CR

# SHAD MICHAEL MCNULTY,

Appellant V.

# THE STATE OF TEXAS,

Appellee

Appeal from the 7th District Court of Smith County, Texas (Tr.Ct.No. 007-0815-15)

THIS CAUSE came to be heard on the appellate record and brief filed herein, and the same being considered, it is the opinion of this court that there was no error in the judgment.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that the judgment of the court below **be in all things affirmed**, and that this decision be certified to the court below for observance.

By per curiam opinion.

Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Hoyle, J. and Neeley, J.