

**NOS. 12-19-00194-CR
12-19-00195-CR
12-19-00196-CR
12-19-00197-CR**

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT

TYLER, TEXAS

***LARRY COLEMAN HICKS,
APPELLANT***

§ ***APPEALS FROM THE 114TH***

V.

§ ***JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT***

***THE STATE OF TEXAS,
APPELLEE***

§ ***SMITH COUNTY, TEXAS***

***MEMORANDUM OPINION
PER CURIAM***

On May 20, 2019, Larry Coleman Hicks, acting pro se, filed a notice of appeal regarding trial court cause numbers 4-95-109, 4-95-528, 4-95-529, and 4-95-531. However, Appellant previously appealed from these four cause numbers and, on May 8, 2019, we informed Appellant that this Court lacks jurisdiction over the four trial court cause numbers and any further attempts to file an appeal in these cause numbers would be deemed an abuse of the judicial process. *See Hicks v. State*, No. 12-19-00119-CR, 2019 WL 2021680, at *2 (Tex. App.—Tyler May 8, 2019, no pet. h.) (mem. op., not designated for publication). Pursuant to our inherent power to control this Court’s docket, we directed Appellant to refrain from filing additional appeals from trial court cause numbers 4-95-109, 4-95-528, 4-95-529, and 4-95-531. *See id.*

Because Appellant ignored this Court’s directive in our May 8, 2019, opinion, we conclude that Appellant engaged in dilatory and bad-faith abuse of the judicial process by once again appealing from trial court cause numbers 4-95-109, 4-95-528, 4-95-529, and 4-95-531. *See Brager v. State*, No. 0365-03, 2004 WL 3093237, at *3 (Tex. Crim. App. Oct. 13, 2004) (en banc) (not designated for publication). Due to this abuse, under our inherent power to sanction,

this Court will not accept or file any future appeals pertaining to trial court cause numbers 4-95-109, 4-95-528, 4-95-529, and 4-95-531. *See id.*, at *2; *see also McDonald v. State*, 401 S.W.3d 360, 362 (Tex. App.—Amarillo 2013, pet. ref’d); *Meyer v. State*, 310 S.W.3d 24, 26 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 2010, no pet.) (concluding appellant engaged in abuse of the judicial process and refusing to permit appellant to “attempt to further manipulate the appellate system”). These appeals are *dismissed for abuse of the judicial process*. *See Johnson v. State*, 166 S.W.3d 372, 373 (Tex. App.—Waco 2005, no pet.) (dismissing criminal appeal for abuse of judicial process).

Opinion delivered May 31, 2019.

Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Hoyle, J., and Neeley, J.

(DO NOT PUBLISH)



COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT OF TEXAS

JUDGMENT

MAY 31, 2019

NO. 12-19-00194-CR

LARRY COLEMAN HICKS,

Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,

Appellee

Appeal from the 114th District Court
of Smith County, Texas (Tr.Ct.No. 4-95-109)

THIS CAUSE came to be heard on the appellate record; and the same being considered, it is the opinion of this Court that this appeal should be dismissed for abuse of the judicial process.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is, hereby **dismissed for abuse of the judicial process**; and that this decision be certified to the court below for observance.

By *per curiam* opinion.

Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Hoyle, J. and Neeley, J.



COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT OF TEXAS

JUDGMENT

MAY 31, 2019

NO. 12-19-00195-CR

LARRY COLEMAN HICKS,

Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS,

Appellee

Appeal from the 114th District Court
of Smith County, Texas (Tr.Ct.No. 4-95-528)

THIS CAUSE came to be heard on the appellate record; and the same being considered, it is the opinion of this Court that this appeal should be dismissed for abuse of the judicial process.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is, hereby **dismissed for abuse of the judicial process**; and that this decision be certified to the court below for observance.

By *per curiam* opinion.

Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Hoyle, J. and Neeley, J.



COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT OF TEXAS

JUDGMENT

MAY 31, 2019

NO. 12-19-00196-CR

LARRY COLEMAN HICKS,
Appellant
V.
THE STATE OF TEXAS,
Appellee

Appeal from the 114th District Court
of Smith County, Texas (Tr.Ct.No. 4-95-529)

THIS CAUSE came to be heard on the appellate record; and the same being considered, it is the opinion of this Court that this appeal should be dismissed for abuse of the judicial process.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is, hereby **dismissed for abuse of the judicial process**; and that this decision be certified to the court below for observance.

By *per curiam* opinion.
Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Hoyle, J. and Neeley, J.



COURT OF APPEALS

TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT OF TEXAS

JUDGMENT

MAY 31, 2019

NO. 12-19-00197-CR

LARRY COLEMAN HICKS,
Appellant
V.
THE STATE OF TEXAS,
Appellee

Appeal from the 114th District Court
of Smith County, Texas (Tr.Ct.No. 4-95-531)

THIS CAUSE came to be heard on the appellate record; and the same being considered, it is the opinion of this Court that this appeal should be dismissed for abuse of the judicial process.

It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by this Court that this appeal be, and the same is, hereby **dismissed for abuse of the judicial process**; and that this decision be certified to the court below for observance.

By *per curiam* opinion.
Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Hoyle, J. and Neeley, J.