

NO. 12-20-00190-CV
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT
TYLER, TEXAS

IN RE: GARRISON PROPERTY & §
CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, § *ORIGINAL PROCEEDING*
RELATOR §

MEMORANDUM OPINION
PER CURIAM

Garrison Property and Casualty Insurance Company filed a petition for writ of mandamus seeking relief from Respondent’s August 4, 2020 order directing Garrison to produce its corporate representative for deposition.¹ On October 21, 2020, this Court conditionally granted the petition and directed Respondent to (1) withdraw his August 4, 2020 order granting the motion to compel filed by Julia Davis, the Real Party in Interest; and (2) issue a revised order containing limited deposition topics consistent with our opinion. By a revised order signed on October 28, Respondent complied with this Court’s opinion and order, rendering this proceeding moot. Accordingly, we dismiss the petition for writ of mandamus as *moot*.

Opinion delivered November 10, 2020.

Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Hoyle, J., and Neeley, J.

¹ Respondent is the Honorable C. Michael Davis, Judge of the 369th Judicial District Court, in Anderson County, Texas.



COURT OF APPEALS
TWELFTH COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT OF TEXAS
JUDGMENT

NOVEMBER 10, 2020

NO. 12-20-00190-CV

GARRISON PROPERTY & CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY,
Relator
V.

HON. C. MICHAEL DAVIS,
Respondent

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING

ON THIS DAY came to be heard the petition for writ of mandamus filed by Garrison Property & Casualty Insurance Company; who is the relator in appellate cause number 12-20-00190-CV and the defendant in trial court cause number No. DCCV19-1385-369, pending on the docket of the 369th Judicial District Court of Anderson County, Texas. Said petition for writ of mandamus having been filed herein on August 10, 2020, and the same having been duly considered, because it is the opinion of this Court that the writ should not issue, it is therefore **CONSIDERED, ADJUDGED and ORDERED** that the said petition for writ of mandamus be, and the same is, hereby **dismissed as moot**.

By *per curiam* opinion.
Panel consisted of Worthen, C.J., Hoyle, J. and Neeley, J.