

IN THE UTAH COURT OF APPEALS

----ooOoo----

State of Utah,	)	PER CURIAM DECISION
	)	
Plaintiff and Appellee,	)	Case No. 20100950-CA
	)	
v.	)	F I L E D
	)	(November 3, 2011)
Levi Wickward,	)	
	)	
Defendant and Appellant.	)	2011 UT App 379

-----

Fifth District, Cedar City Department, 101500382  
The Honorable G. Michael Westfall

Attorneys: J. Bryan Jackson, Cedar City, for Appellant  
Mark L. Shurtleff and Laura B. Dupaix, Salt Lake City, for Appellee

-----

Before Judges Orme, Thorne, and Voros.

¶1 Levi Wickward appeals his convictions based upon guilty pleas of possession of a controlled substance with intent to distribute, a second degree felony, and driving with a measurable controlled substance in the body, a class B misdemeanor. Wickward’s counsel filed a brief complying with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 783 (1967), and *State v. Clayton*, 639 P.2d 168 (Utah 1981). The brief “objectively demonstrate[s] that the issues raised are frivolous.” *State v. Flores*, 855 P.2d 258, 260 (Utah Ct. App. 1993) (per curiam); see also *Dunn v. Cook*, 791 P.2d 873, 877 (Utah 1990) (stating that an *Anders* brief must demonstrate that any “potentially meritorious” issues are actually frivolous). Based upon our independent examination of the record, we determine that the appeal is

wholly frivolous, and accordingly, we affirm the decision of the district court and grant counsel's motion to withdraw.

¶2 Affirmed.

---

Gregory K. Orme, Judge

---

William A. Thorne Jr., Judge

---

J. Frederic Voros Jr., Judge