IN THE UTAH COURT OF APPEALS

----00000----

Randall J. Tolbert, dba Hometown)	PER CURIAM DECISION
Development & Construction, a Limited)	
Liability Company,)	Case No. 20110662-CA
)	
Plaintiff and Appellee,)	
)	FILED
v.)	(November 3, 2011)
)	
David Kelly; Judy Kelly; First American)	2011 UT App 373
Title Insurance Agency of Utah, Inc.;)	
Bonneville Title Company, Inc.; Western)	
Surety Company, Inc.;,)	
)	
Defendants and Appellants.)	

Sixth District, Manti Department, 030600303 The Honorable Wallace A. Lee

Attorneys: Ronald E. Griffin, North Salt Lake, for Appellants

Chad L. Woolley, Sandy, for Appellee

Before Judges Davis, McHugh, and Roth.

¶1 Appellants seek to appeal the district court's June 24, 2011 ruling stating that the district court lacked jurisdiction to enforce its judgment because the matter had been appealed. This matter is before the court on a sua sponte motion for summary disposition on the basis that this court lacks jurisdiction due to the absence of a final, appealable order.

- ¶2 Generally, "[a]n appeal is improper if it is taken from an order or judgment that is not final." *Bradbury v. Valencia*, 2000 UT 50, ¶ 9, 5 P.3d 649. In fact, this court lacks jurisdiction to consider an appeal unless it is taken from a final, appealable order. *See id.* \P 8.
- ¶3 In *Giusti v. Sterling Wentworth Corp.*, 2009 UT 2, 201 P.3d 966, the supreme court held that if a district court intends a minute entry or order to be the final order of the court, it "must explicitly direct that no additional order is necessary." *Id.* ¶ 32. When the district court does not expressly direct that its order is the final order of the court, rule 7(f)(2) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure requires the prevailing party, or the non-prevailing party when necessary, to prepare and file an order to trigger finality for purposes of appeal. *See id.* ¶ 30.
- The June 24, 2011 ruling does not satisfy the requirements set forth in *Giusti*. The district court did not expressly indicate that the ruling was the final order of the court and that no further order was required. Furthermore, no party prepared a final order as required by rule 7(f)(2) of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure. Thus, the ruling is not final for purposes of appeal, and this court is required to dismiss the appeal. *See Bradbury*, 2000 UT 50, ¶ 8.
- ¶5 Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a timely appeal from a final order.

James 7 Davis	
James Z. Davis,	
Presiding Judge	
Carolyn B. McHugh,	
Associate Presiding Judge	
0, 0	
Stephen L. Roth, Judge	
Diephen L. Rom, Judge	