

THE UTAH COURT OF APPEALS

STATE OF UTAH,
Plaintiff and Appellee,

v.

JASON C. SHOOK,
Defendant and Appellant.

Per Curiam Decision
No. 20130594-CA
Filed January 23, 2015

Fourth District Court, Nephi Department
The Honorable James M. Brady
No. 111600239

Ronald Fujino, Attorney for Appellant

Sean D. Reyes and Laura B. Dupaix, Attorneys for Appellee

Before JUDGES JAMES Z. DAVIS, MICHELE M. CHRISTIANSEN, and
KATE A. TOOMEY.

PER CURIAM:

¶1 Jason C. Shook appeals the May 7, 2013 decision terminating probation and requiring him to serve the prison sentence of zero to five years for the offense of attempted theft of a motor vehicle, a third degree felony. Shook's appellate counsel filed an amended brief complying with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967) and *State v. Clayton*, 639 P.2d 168 (Utah 1981). That brief "objectively demonstrate[s] that the issues raised are frivolous." *State v. Flores*, 855 P.2d 258, 260 (Utah Ct. App. 1993) (per curiam); see also *State v. Wells*, 2000 UT App 304, ¶ 7, 13 P.3d 1056 (per curiam) (stating that an *Anders* brief must brief all potential issues identified by either the defendant or counsel and objectively demonstrate that the issues presented in the appeal are frivolous). Based upon our

State v. Shook

independent examination of the record, we determine that the appeal is wholly frivolous, and accordingly, we affirm the decision of the district court and grant counsel's motion to withdraw.

¶2 Affirmed.
