

IN THE UTAH COURT OF APPEALS

----ooOoo----

State of Utah,)	PER CURIAM DECISION
)	
Plaintiff and Appellee,)	Case No. 20110201-CA
)	
v.)	FILED
)	(May 5, 2011)
Ryan Daniel Mills,)	
)	
Defendant and Appellant.)	2011 UT App 146

Eighth District, Duchesne Department, 101800163
The Honorable Edwin T. Peterson

Attorneys: Brian Arnold, Mark E. Arnold, and Matt G. Wadsworth, South Ogden,
for Appellant
Mark L. Shurtleff and Marian Decker, Salt Lake City, for Appellee

Before Judges Orme, Roth, and Christiansen.

¶1 Ryan Daniel Mills seeks to appeal his convictions for various crimes. This matter is before the court on its own motion for summary disposition on the basis that this court lacks jurisdiction because there is no final, appealable order.

¶2 This court does not have jurisdiction to consider an appeal unless it is taken from a final judgment or order or qualifies for an exception to the final judgment rule. *See Loffredo v. Holt*, 2001 UT 97, ¶¶ 10, 15, 37 P.3d 1070. An order is final only if it disposes of the case as to all parties and “finally dispose[s] of the subject-matter of the litigation on the merits of the case.” *Bradbury v. Valencia*, 2000 UT 50, ¶ 9, 5 P.3d 649 (quotation marks and citation omitted). “In a criminal case, it is ‘the sentence itself which constitutes a final judgment from which the appellant has the right to appeal.’” *State v.*

Bowers, 2002 UT 100, ¶ 4, 57 P.3d 1065 (quoting *State v. Gerrard*, 584 P.2d 885, 886 (Utah 1978)).

¶3 Mills was convicted by a jury of various crimes on February 16, 2011. However, Mills has not yet been sentenced. Accordingly, there is no final, appealable order, and we lack jurisdiction over the appeal. *See id.* When this court lacks jurisdiction, it must dismiss the appeal. *See Loffredo*, 2001 UT 97, ¶ 11.

¶4 The appeal is dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a timely appeal after the district court enters a final, appealable order.

Gregory K. Orme, Judge

Stephen L. Roth, Judge

Michele M. Christiansen, Judge