

IN THE UTAH COURT OF APPEALS

-----ooOoo-----

State of Utah, in the interest) of J.D. and V.D., persons) under eighteen years of age.) <hr style="width: 25%; margin-left: 0;"/>) W.D.,) Appellant,) v.) State of Utah,) Appellee.)	MEMORANDUM DECISION (Not For Official Publication) Case No. 20070002-CA F I L E D (March 1, 2007) <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">2007 UT App 70</div>
--	--

Seventh District Juvenile, Castle Dale Department, 970560, 510555
The Honorable Scott N. Johansen

Attorneys: Neil Skousen, Orem, for Appellant
Mark L. Shurtleff and John M. Peterson, Salt Lake
City, for Appellee
Martha Pierce, Salt Lake City, Guardian Ad Litem

Before Judges Greenwood, Billings, and Davis.

PER CURIAM:

W.D. (Father) appeals from a juvenile court order terminating reunification services. Because the order appealed is not a final order, this court lacks jurisdiction and must dismiss the appeal.

This court has previously held that certain types of permanency orders are not final appealable orders. See In re A.F., 2006 UT App 200, ¶10, 138 P.3d 65, cert. granted, No. 20060648-SC, 2006 Utah LEXIS 190 (Utah Sept. 20, 2006). Permanency orders that terminate reunification services are interlocutory in nature because further action is clearly contemplated. See id. The order Father appeals from is just

this type of order. As a result, this court lacks jurisdiction and must dismiss the appeal. See id. at ¶17.

Dismissed.

Pamela T. Greenwood,
Associate Presiding Judge

Judith M. Billings, Judge

James Z. Davis, Judge