IN THE UTAH COURT OF APPEALS

----00000----

Clark Properties, Inc.,)	MEMORANDUM DECISION (Not For Official Publication)
Petitioner and Appellant,)	Case No. 20100416-CA
v.)	
JDW-CM, LLC,	F I L E D (July 22, 2010)
Respondent and Appellee.)	2010 UT App 205
JDW-CM, LLC,	
Counterclaim Petitioner) and Appellee,)	
v.)	
Clark Properties, Inc.,)	
Counterclaim Respondent) and Appellant,)	
and)	
Deer Run at Maple Hills, LLC;) and John Does 1-100,)	
) Third-party Respondent) and Appellant.)	
Second District, Farmington Depar The Honorable Jon M. Memmott	rtment, 000700454
Attorneys: George A. Hunt and Ma for Appellants	rk R. Anderson, Salt Lake City,
Before Judges Thorne, Voros, and	Christiansen.
PER CURIAM:	
Clark Properties, Inc. and D (collectively, Clark) appeal the	

title to certain lots in JDW-CM, LLC and the order denying a motion for new trial. This is before the court on its own motion for summary disposition based on lack of jurisdiction due to the absence of a final order. Clark responded to the motion. Appellee JDW-CM did not.

Generally, appeals may be taken only from final orders. <u>See</u> Utah R. App. P. 3(a); <u>Bradbury v. Valencia</u>, 2000 UT 50, ¶ 9, 5 P.3d 649. When a motion for new trial is filed, the appeal time runs from the entry of the order disposing of such motion. <u>See</u> Utah R. App. P. 4(b). The trial court entered its ruling on Clark's motion for new trial in April 2010. However, there is not a final order disposing of the motion for new trial because the ruling expressly contemplated further action by requiring JDW-CM to prepare the formal order. Absent a final order, this court lacks jurisdiction over this appeal. <u>See Bradbury</u>, 2000 UT 50, ¶ 9. As a result, the appeal is not properly taken and must be dismissed. <u>See id.</u> ¶ 8.

Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a timely notice of appeal from a final order.

William A. Thorne Jr., Judge

J. Frederic Voros Jr., Judge

Michele M. Christiansen, Judge