IN THE UTAH COURT OF APPEALS

----00000----

Mary Darlington, the heir of Sarah Elizabeth Darlington,	<pre>)</pre>
deceased,) Case No. 20060487-CA
Plaintiff and Appellant,	<pre>FILED) (September 8, 2006)</pre>
v.	
Willow Wood Care Center,) [2006 UT App 370]
Defendant and Appellee.)

Third District, Salt Lake Department, 050919206 The Honorable L.A. Dever

Attorneys: D. Christopher Vancampen, Provo, for Appellant Brian P. Miller and Derek J. Williams, Salt Lake City, for Appellee

Before Judges Davis, McHugh, and Orme.

PER CURIAM:

Mary Darlington appeals the trial court's dismissal of her complaint for failure to comply with the Utah Health Care Malpractice Act (the Act). See Utah Code Ann. § 78-14-1 to -17 (2002 & Supp. 2006). This is before the court on appellee Willow Wood Care Center's (Willow Wood) motion for summary disposition based on the lack of a substantial question for review. Darlington did not respond to the motion.

After the death of her mother, Darlington filed a complaint against Willow Wood alleging causes of action for negligence, wrongful death, and loss of consortium. Under the Act, a plaintiff suing a health care provider must follow specified steps, including giving at least ninety days prior notice before commencing the action, see id. § 78-14-8, and participating in a prelitigation panel review, see id. § 78-14-12. It is undisputed that the Act applies and that Darlington did not follow either of these required steps before initiating the litigation. "If [the Act's] requirements are not fully met, the action will be dismissed." Carter v. Milford Valley Mem. Hosp., 2000 UT App

21,¶13, 996 P.2d 1076; <u>see also Smith v. Four Corners Mental Health Ctr., Inc.</u>, 2003 UT 23,¶37, 70 P.3d 904.

Because Darlington did not comply with the Act, the trial court correctly dismissed her complaint. Accordingly, the dismissal of Darlington's complaint is affirmed.

James Z	. Da	avis,	Ju	dge	
Carolyn	D	МаЧис		Tudae	
	ъ.	merrug	jii ,	uage	
Gregory	Κ.	Orme,	Jι	udge	