

IN THE UTAH COURT OF APPEALS

-----ooOoo-----

American Fork City,)	MEMORANDUM DECISION
)	(Not For Official Publication)
Plaintiff and Appellee,)	
)	Case No. 20090587-CA
v.)	
)	F I L E D
Dorothy Durrant,)	(September 17, 2009)
)	
Defendant and Appellant.)	2009 UT App 263

Fourth District, American Fork Department, 095102744
The Honorable Howard H. Maetani

Attorneys: Dorothy Durrant, Lehi, Appellant Pro Se

Before Judges Bench, Orme, and McHugh.

PER CURIAM:

Dorothy Durrant appeals her speeding conviction. This is before the court on its own motion for summary disposition based on the lack of a substantial question for review.

Durrant asserts that the ticketing officer gave false testimony and that his entire testimony should have been disregarded. Essentially, she challenges the officer's credibility. It is the fact finder's role to determine the credibility of witnesses. See State v. Workman, 852 P.2d 981, 984 (Utah 1993). Generally, "a reviewing court may not reassess credibility." Id. Because matters of credibility are beyond the reach of this court and there are no other issues raised, there is no substantial question for review meriting further consideration by this court.

Affirmed.

Russell W. Bench, Judge

Gregory K. Orme, Judge

Carolyn B. McHugh, Judge