

IN THE UTAH COURT OF APPEALS

-----ooOoo-----

Orem City,	)	MEMORANDUM DECISION	
	)	(Not For Official Publication)	
Plaintiff and Appellee,	)		
	)	Case No. 20060155-CA	
v.	)		
	)	F I L E D	
Miguel David Gedo,	)	(June 15, 2006)	
	)		
Defendant and Appellant.	)	<table border="1"><tr><td>2006 UT App 249</td></tr></table>	2006 UT App 249
2006 UT App 249			

-----

Fourth District, Orem Department, 055212625  
The Honorable John C. Backlund

Attorneys: Miguel David Gedo, Orem, Appellant Pro Se  
Robert J. Church, Orem, for Appellee

-----

Before Judges Greenwood, Davis, and Thorne.

PER CURIAM:

Miguel David Gedo appeals his convictions in a traffic case of no child restraint device and improper turning or changing lanes, both infractions. This case is before the court on a sua sponte motion for summary disposition on the basis that the grounds for appeal are so insubstantial that they do not merit further proceedings or consideration by the appellate court.

Gedo did not file a response to the sua sponte motion; accordingly, he has not demonstrated that the appeal presents any substantial ground for appeal. See Utah R. App. P. 10. A review of the docketing statement and the district court record demonstrates that Gedo has raised no meritorious challenge to his conviction or the sentence imposed by the district court.

We affirm the judgment and sentence.

---

Pamela T. Greenwood,  
Associate Presiding Judge

---

James Z. Davis, Judge

---

William A. Thorne Jr., Judge