

IN THE UTAH COURT OF APPEALS

-----ooOoo-----

<u>Michael Gray</u> and David Medina,)	MEMORANDUM DECISION	
)	(Not For Official Publication)	
Plaintiffs and Appellant,)		
)	Case No. 20050716-CA	
v.)		
)	F I L E D	
Days Inn Central,)	(November 25, 2005)	
)		
Defendant and Appellee.)	<table border="1"><tr><td>2005 UT App 508</td></tr></table>	2005 UT App 508
2005 UT App 508			

Third District, Salt Lake Department, 020903595
The Honorable Joseph C. Fratto Jr.

Attorneys: Michael Gray, Salt Lake City, Appellant Pro Se
Barbara K. Berrett and Mark D. Taylor, Salt Lake
City, for Appellee

Before Judges Davis, McHugh, and Thorne.

PER CURIAM:

Michael Gray appeals the trial court's grant of summary judgment in favor of Days Inn Central. This is before the court on its own motion for summary disposition.

Gray's docketing statement fails to identify an issue for review on appeal. Instead, it presents a list of excuses that may explain why Gray failed to timely respond to the summary judgment motion below. However, these excuses have no relevancy on appeal because they do not assert trial court error. Because Gray states no issue for review on appeal, there is no substantial issue for review warranting further consideration by this court. See Utah R. App. P. 10(e).

Accordingly, the trial court's order is affirmed.

James Z. Davis, Judge

Carolyn B. McHugh, Judge

William A. Thorne Jr., Judge