## IN THE UTAH COURT OF APPEALS

----00000----

Young, et al.,  Plaintiffs and Appellant,  Plaintiffs and Appellant,  (August 4, 2005)	ion)
' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	
v. ) 2005 UT App 341	
Elvis A. Presley, et al.,	
Defendants and Appellees. )	

Third District, Salt Lake Department, 970901066 The Honorable Joseph C. Fratto

Attorneys: Ernie Young, Salt Lake City, Appellant Pro Se

----

Before Judges Davis, Orme, and Thorne.

## PER CURIAM:

Ernie Young appeals the dismissal of his case. This is before the court on its own motion for summary disposition. Young did not file a response to the court's motion.

This case was initially dismissed in 1997. Any possible remaining defendants were dismissed by the trial court in February 2005, based on Young's failure to show that any defendant had been served with process.

Young has failed to present any question for review on appeal. He has not asserted error on the part of the trial court, nor has he asserted that service was properly made on any defendant remaining, if any, after the dismissal in 1997. As a

result, "it plainly appears that no substantial question is presented" for review. Utah R. App. P. 10(e).

Accordingly, the trial court's dismissal of the case is summarily affirmed.  $\,$ 

James Z. Davis, Judge

Gregory K. Orme, Judge

William A. Thorne Jr., Judge