## IN THE UTAH COURT OF APPEALS

----00000----

Orem City,	) MEMORANDUM DECISION (Not For Official Publication)
Plaintiff and Appellee,	) Case No. 20090987-CA
V.	FILED ) (April 8, 2010)
Matthew R. Tison,	)
Defendant and Appellant.	2010 UT App 83

----

Fourth District, Orem Department, 095201854 The Honorable John C. Backlund

Attorneys: Matthew R. Tison, Provo, Appellant Pro Se

\_\_\_\_

Before Judges McHugh, Orme, and Voros.

## PER CURIAM:

Matthew Tison appeals his conviction of driving without insurance. This is before the court on its own motion for summary disposition based on the lack of a substantial question for review. Tison did not respond to the motion. We affirm.

Tison asserts in his docketing statement that he did not plead guilty. The record shows that a guilty plea was entered to the charge of operating a vehicle without insurance and that a second charge was dismissed. Tison has not provided a transcript of the proceedings for review to determine if anything happened other than what is reflected in the record. It is Tison's obligation to provide this court with a complete record in order for the court to evaluate his claims. See Utah R. App. P. 11(e)(2); State v. Penman, 964 P.2d 1157, 1162 (Utah Ct. App. 1998). "'Absent that record, defendant's assignment of error stands as a unilateral allegation which the reviewing court has no power to determine.'" Penman, 964 P.2d at 1162. Further, "in the face of 'an inadequate record on appeal, [we] must assume the regularity of the proceedings below.'" State v. Miller, 718 P.2d

403, 405 (Utah 1986) (per curiam); see also State v. Blubaugh, 904 P.2d 688, 699 (Utah Ct. App. 1995). Because Tison has not provided a transcript, this court cannot evaluate his claim on appeal and must assume the regularity of the proceedings below.

Affirmed.

Carolyn B. McHugh, Associate Presiding Judge

Gregory K. Orme, Judge

J. Frederic Voros Jr., Judge