IN THE UTAH COURT OF APPEALS

----00000----

State of Utah,) MEMORANDUM DECISION) (Not For Official Publication)						
Plaintiff and Appellee,) Case No. 20090442-CA						
V.) FILED (December 3, 2009)						
Murphy Lee Williams,							
Defendant and Appellant.) [2009 UT App 361]						
Fifth District, Cedar City Department The Honorable Michael G. Westfal							

The Honorable John J. Walton

Attorneys: J. Bryan Jackson, Cedar City, for Appellant Scott Garrett and G. Tyler Romeril, Cedar City, for Appellee

Before Judges Bench, Davis, and McHugh.

PER CURIAM:

Murphy Lee Williams appeals his convictions and sentences for various crimes. Williams's counsel filed a brief in accordance with Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 783 (1967), and State v. Clayton, 639 P.2d 168 (Utah 1981). The brief "objectively demonstrate[s] that the issues raised are frivolous." State v. Flores, 855 P.2d 258, 260 (Utah Ct. App. 1993) (per curiam); See also Dunn v. Cook, 791 P.2d 873, 877 (Utah 1990) (stating that an Anders brief must demonstrate any "potentially meritorious" issues are actually frivolous). Based upon our independent examination of the record, we determine that the appeal is, indeed, wholly frivolous, and accordingly, we affirm the decision of the district court and grant counsel's motion to withdraw.

Russel	l V	7.]	Benc!	h,	Judge	
James	Ζ.	Dar	vis.	Jι	ıdae	
			,			