## **ENTRY ORDER**

## SUPREME COURT DOCKET NO. 2017-320

JANUARY TERM, 2020

In re Amy Klingler, Esq. (Office of Disciplinary Counsel*)	<pre>} Original Jurisdiction</pre>
	<ul><li>Professional Responsibility Board</li></ul>
	} } PRB DOCKET NO. 2018-018
In the above-entitled	cause, the Clerk will enter:
September 2017, at the joint request of respondenced on interim suspension status due to a from practicing law. See A.O. 9, Rule 18(B). Suspension order. She states that her disability from her primary treatment coordinators to Dispose respondent's dissolution motion. Basic respondent is no longer under a disability and of serious harm to the public." A.O. 9, Rule dissolve the interim suspension order and we	licensed to practice law in the State of Vermont. In pondent and Disciplinary Counsel, respondent was a medical condition that, at that time, prevented her Respondent now moves for dissolution of the interim y is now under control. She provided documentation disciplinary Counsel. Disciplinary Counsel does not sed on the parties' representations, we conclude that d she does not "presently pose[] a substantial threat at 18(A). We therefore grant respondent's request to be release the trustee, appointed in September 2017, le 18(D). As nothing more remains pending in this
	BY THE COURT:
	Paul L. Reiber, Chief Justice
	Beth Robinson, Associate Justice
	Harold E. Eaton, Jr., Associate Justice
	Karen R. Carroll, Associate Justice

William D. Cohen, Associate Justice