VERMONT SUPREME COURT

109 State Street Montpelier VT 05609-0801 802-828-4774 www.vermontjudiciary.org



Case No. 22-AP-083

Note: In the case title, an asterisk (*) indicates an appellant and a double asterisk (**) indicates a cross-appellant. Decisions of a three-justice panel are not to be considered as precedent before any tribunal.

ENTRY ORDER

AUGUST TERM, 2022

| Justin Ames Gamache* v. Alexander Burke | <pre>} } } }</pre> | APPEALED FROM: Superior Court, Bennington Unit Civil Division CASE NO. 22-ST-00176 Trial Judge: John W. Valento |
|---|--------------------|---|
| | , | Trial Judge: John W. Valente |
| | | |

In the above-entitled cause, the Clerk will enter:

Plaintiff appeals pro se from the trial court's denial of his request for an anti-stalking order. We affirm.

Plaintiff filed a complaint against defendant in August 2021, asserting that defendant stalked him by trying to determine if he was still living in Vermont. Following a hearing, the court made findings and conclusions on the record and denied plaintiff's request for relief. Plaintiff appealed.

On appeal, plaintiff reiterates his assertion that defendant stalked him.* The trial court concluded otherwise. Because plaintiff did not order a transcript of the proceedings below, we assume that the court's findings are supported by the record. See V.R.A.P. 10(b)(1) ("By failing to order a transcript, the appellant waives the right to raise any issue for which a transcript is necessary for informed appellate review."); In re S.B.L., 150 Vt. 294, 307 (1988) (explaining that appellant bears consequences of failing to order transcript and without transcript Supreme Court assumes that evidence supports trial court's findings). Plaintiff fails to show that the court erred in denying his request for relief.

Affirmed.

| BY | THE COURT: |
|-----|-----------------------------------|
| Pau | al L. Reiber, Chief Justice |
| Kar | ren R. Carroll, Associate Justice |
| Nan | ncy J. Waples, Associate Justice |

^{*} We deny plaintiff's request that sanctions be imposed against defendant under V.R.A.P. 42(b).