

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

STATE OF WASHINGTON, )  
 )  
 Respondent, ) No. 70861-9-1  
 )  
 v. ) DIVISION ONE  
 )  
 IBRAHIM SALEH ADAM, ) UNPUBLISHED OPINION  
 )  
 Appellant. ) FILED: September 2, 2014

FILED  
COURT OF APPEALS DIV 1  
STATE OF WASHINGTON  
2014 SEP -2 AM 11:37

PER CURIAM. Ibrahim Adam appeals from the judgment and sentence entered after he pleaded guilty to one count of felony stalking. Adam's court-appointed attorney has filed a motion to withdraw on the ground that there is no basis for a good faith argument on review. Pursuant to State v. Theobald, 78 Wn.2d 184, 470 P.2d 188 (1970), and Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738, 18 L. Ed. 2d 493, 87 S. Ct. 1396 (1967), the motion to withdraw must:

[1] be accompanied by a brief referring to anything in the record that might arguably support the appeal. [2] A copy of counsel's brief should be furnished the indigent and [3] time allowed him to raise any points that he chooses; [4] the court--not counsel--then proceeds, after a full examination of all the proceedings, to decide whether the case is wholly frivolous.

State v. Theobald, 78 Wn.2d at 185 (quoting Anders v. California, 386 U.S. at 744).

This procedure has been followed. Adam's counsel on appeal filed a brief with the motion to withdraw. Adam was served with a copy of the brief and informed of the right to file a statement of additional grounds for review. Adam filed a statement of additional grounds for review, which also includes a motion to withdraw his guilty plea.

The facts are accurately set forth in counsel's brief in support of the motion to withdraw. The court has reviewed the briefs filed in this court and has independently reviewed the entire record. The court specifically considered the following potential issue raised by counsel:

1. Whether Adam's guilty plea was knowing, intelligent, and voluntary?

The court also considered the following issue that Adam raised in his statement of additional grounds on review:

1. Whether the trial court erred in refusing to permit Adam to withdraw his guilty plea?

The potential issues raised by counsel and Adam are wholly frivolous.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted and the appeal is dismissed.

For the court:

Trickey, J  
Appelwick, J  
Becker, J.