	OF THE STATE OF WASHINGT 图 STATE OF WASHINGT R STATE OF WASHINGT	1915 T DE
STATE OF WASHINGTON,) No. 71349-3-I). UI
Respondent,) 	□ <u>></u> -
V .	<u>ਰ</u> ਵੀ	2
JOHN C. JACKSON,) UNPUBLISHED OPINION	
Appellant.) FILED: December 29, 2014)	

PER CURIAM. John Jackson challenges his conviction for second degree burglary. His court-appointed attorney has filed a motion to withdraw on the ground that there is no basis for a good faith argument on review. Pursuant to <u>State v. Theobald</u>, 78 Wn.2d 184, 470 P.2d 188 (1970), and <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396, 18 L. Ed. 2d 493 (1967), the motion to withdraw must:

(1) be accompanied by a brief referring to anything in the record that might arguably support the appeal. (2) A copy of counsel's brief should be furnished the indigent and (3) time allowed him to raise any points that he chooses; (4) the court—not counsel—then proceeds, after a full examination of all the proceedings, to decide whether the case is wholly frivolous.

Theobald, 78 Wn.2d at 185 (quoting Anders, 386 U.S. at 744).

This procedure has been followed. Jackson's counsel on appeal filed a brief with the motion to withdraw. Jackson was served with a copy of the brief and informed of his right to file a statement of additional grounds for review. Jackson did not file a supplemental brief.

The material facts are accurately set forth in counsel's brief in support of the motion to withdraw. The court has reviewed the briefs filed in this court and has

No. 71349-3-I/2

independently reviewed the entire record. The court specifically considered the following potential issue raised by counsel:

Did the State prove each element of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt?

The issue raised by counsel is wholly frivolous. The motion to withdraw is granted and the appeal is dismissed.

FOR THE COURT: