

when the original amount in controversy, or the value of the property does not exceed the sum of two hundred dollars.”² The parties have provided limited briefing on the amount in controversy limitation.

Under RAP 2.3(b)(4), “discretionary review *may* be granted.”

Under all of the circumstances, it is not clear what benefits would result from immediate review. We conclude that review was improvidently granted. This matter is dismissed.

WE CONCUR:

Trickey, J

[Signature]

Becker, J.

² RCW 2.06.030. The Washington Constitution imposes a similar \$200 amount in controversy threshold on the Washington Supreme Court, but expressly allows the Supreme Court to consider challenges to municipal fines. WASH. CONST. art. IV, § 4; City of Bremerton v. Spears, 134 Wn.2d 141, 152, 949 P.2d 347 (1998).