IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON		2016	STATE
STATE OF WASHINGTON,) DIVISION ONE	T UF APP	
Respondent, v.) No. 73762-7-l)	:2 == 7	TP.
MICHAEL MARKNSEN,) UNPUBLISHED OPINION)	2	25
Appellant.))		

DWYER, J. — As the parties predicted, the Supreme Court's opinion in State v. Case, No. 92293-4 (Wash. Dec. 8, 2016),

http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/pdf/922934.pdf, is dispositive of the claim of error raised in this appeal. The <u>Case</u> decision mandates affirmance of the trial court's judgment. We so order.

The sole remaining question regards costs on appeal. This question was fully briefed by the parties (it was raised in the brief of appellant, addressed in the brief of respondent, and discussed in appellant's reply brief) and we granted oral argument on the issue. After full consideration of the question, "we now choose to exercise our discretion and direct the clerk of the court not to award appellate costs even though the State has substantially prevailed." In re Pers. Restraint of Flippo, No. 92616-6 (Wash. Dec. 8, 2016), at 8,

http://www.courts.wa.gov/opinions/pdf/926166.pdf.

No. 73762-7-1/2

Affirmed.

We concur:

-2-