

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON  
DIVISION ONE

STATE OF WASHINGTON, )  
 )  
 Respondent, )  
 )  
 v. )  
 )  
 CHAD DAVID SMITH, ) UNPUBLISHED OPINION  
 )  
 Appellant. ) FILED: June 11, 2018  
 \_\_\_\_\_ )

FILED  
COURT OF APPEALS DIV 1  
STATE OF WASHINGTON  
2018 JUN 11 AM 9:17

PER CURIAM. Chad Smith challenges the judgment and sentence imposed following his guilty plea to first degree theft. His court-appointed attorney has filed a motion to withdraw on the ground that there is no basis for a good faith argument on review. Pursuant to State v. Theobald, 78 Wn.2d 184, 470 P.2d 188 (1970), and Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S. Ct. 1396, 18 L. Ed. 2d 493 (1967), the motion to withdraw must:

- (1) be accompanied by a brief referring to anything in the record that might arguably support the appeal.
- (2) A copy of counsel's brief should be furnished the indigent and
- (3) time allowed him to raise any points that he chooses;
- (4) the court—not counsel—then proceeds, after a full examination of all the proceedings, to decide whether the case is wholly frivolous.

Theobald, 78 Wn.2d at 185 (quoting Anders, 386 U.S. at 744).

This procedure has been followed. Smith's counsel on appeal filed a brief with the motion to withdraw. Smith was served with a copy of the brief and informed of his right to file a statement of additional grounds for review. Smith did not file a supplemental brief.

No. 77027-6-1/2

The material facts are accurately set forth in counsel's brief in support of the motion to withdraw. The court has reviewed the briefs filed in this court and has independently reviewed the entire record. The court specifically considered the following potential issues raised by counsel:

Did the trial court err in ordering restitution?

The issues raised by counsel are wholly frivolous. The motion to withdraw is granted and the appeal is dismissed.

FOR THE COURT:

Mann, A.C.J.

Trickey, J.

Speckman, J.