

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

| | | |
|----------------------|---|---------------------|
| STATE OF WASHINGTON, |) | |
| |) | No. 63962-5-I |
| Respondent, |) | |
| |) | DIVISION ONE |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| CRAIG HINES, |) | UNPUBLISHED OPINION |
| |) | |
| Appellant. |) | FILED: June 7, 2010 |
| _____ |) | |

PER CURIAM. Craig Hines appeals the sentence imposed following his conviction for possession of cocaine. He contends the court erred in concluding that an amended version of RCW 43.43.7541 applies to this case and requires imposition of a DNA collection fee. He further contends that defense counsel was ineffective for failing to object to application of the amended statute to this case. But as Hines correctly concedes, these contentions are controlled by our decisions in State v. Brewster, 152 Wn. App. 856, 218 P.3d 249 (2009) and State v. Thompson, 153 Wn. App. 325, 223 P.3d 1165 (2009). We adhere to those decisions here.

Affirmed.

For the court:

Spencer, J.

Dupont, C. S.

Becker, J.