IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

STATE OF WASHINGTON,)	
		ý	No. 65548-5-I
	Respondent,)	DIVISION ONE
۷.)	
MORRIS BAKER,)	UNPUBLISHED OPINION
	Appellant.))	FILED: May 16, 2011

PER CURIAM. Morris Baker appeals from the judgment and sentence entered

following his conviction for failure to register as a sex offender. Baker's court-

appointed attorney has filed a motion to withdraw on the ground that there is no basis

for a good faith argument on review. Pursuant to State v. Theobald, 78 Wn.2d 184,

470 P.2d 188 (1970), and Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738, 18 L. Ed. 2d 493, 87 S.

Ct. 1396 (1967), the motion to withdraw must:

[1] be accompanied by a brief referring to anything in the record that might arguably support the appeal. [2] A copy of counsel's brief should be furnished the indigent and [3] time allowed him to raise any points that he chooses; [4] the court--not counsel--then proceeds, after a full examination of all the proceedings, to decide whether the case is wholly frivolous.

State v. Theobald, 78 Wn.2d at 185, guoting Anders v. California, 386 U.S. at 744.

This procedure has been followed. Baker's counsel on appeal filed a brief with the motion to withdraw. Baker was served with a copy of the brief and informed of his right to file a pro se supplemental brief. He did not file a supplemental brief.

The facts are accurately set forth in counsel's brief in support of the motion to

withdraw. The court has reviewed the briefs filed in this court and has independently reviewed the entire record. The court specifically considered the following potential issue raised by counsel:

Is Baker's conviction supported by sufficient evidence?

The potential issue is wholly frivolous.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is granted and the appeal is dismissed.

For the Court:

Becker, J. Eccanor, J. Spen, J.