IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON DIVISION ONE

) No. 6	65561-2-I
)	
)	
)) UNP	UBLISHED OPINION
) FILE	D: July 16, 2012
))))) UNP)

Ellington, J. — Derek White appeals his two convictions for possession of controlled substances. Among other things, White contends the search of his car incident to his arrest and confinement in a patrol car violated his constitutional rights. We stayed White's appeal because the issue he raised was pending before the Washington Supreme Court.

In the consolidated case of <u>State v. Snapp</u> and <u>State v. Wright</u>, the Supreme Court held that article I, section 7 of the Washington Constitution does not permit the warrantless search of a vehicle incident to arrest of a recent occupant who has been secured in the back of a patrol car even when it is reasonable to believe that evidence of the crime of arrest might be found in the vehicle.¹

¹ 174 Wn.2d 177, 197, 275 P.3d 289 (2012).

In supplemental briefing, the State concedes that the search of White's vehicle was unlawful under <u>Snapp</u> and the evidence must be suppressed. The concession is well taken. Because the evidence formed the sole basis for the two convictions for possession of a controlled substance, we reverse those convictions and remand for such proceedings as may be appropriate.²

Reversed and remanded.

Elector, J

WE CONCUR:

Deny, J.

Becker, J.

² In light of this disposition, we do not reach White's remaining arguments.