

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON**

STATE OF WASHINGTON,	)	
	)	No. 66306-2-I
Respondent,	)	
	)	DIVISION ONE
v.	)	
	)	
JOHN ARLEN STANLEY,	)	UNPUBLISHED OPINION
	)	
Appellant.	)	FILED: January 30, 2012

PER CURIAM. John Stanley appeals the sentence imposed following his convictions for first degree robbery, possession of cocaine, and attempted bribery of a witness. He argues, and the State concedes, that the judgment and sentence fails to reflect agreed changes to the offender scores for the robbery and possession counts, and that an error in the offender score for the bribery charge requires resentencing on that count. We accept the concession.

Stanley raises several additional claims in a pro se statement of additional grounds for review. Stanley's first and fourth grounds fail to state a basis for relief because matters pertaining to the credibility of witnesses, conflicting testimony, and the persuasiveness of the evidence are the exclusive province of the jury. State v. Camarillo, 115 Wn.2d 60, 71, 794 P.2d 850 (1990). Stanley's second ground involves matters outside the record on appeal. And his third ground – i.e., that the failure of certain inmates to testify violated his right to confrontation – provides no basis for relief. Contrary to Stanley's assertions, the inmates were not his "accusers." His accuser was a Department of Corrections

No. 66306-2-1/2

Officer who testified that he saw Stanley pass a note asking an inmate to bribe a witness after his release. Stanley fails to establish any basis for relief.

The convictions are affirmed but the sentence is remanded for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

For the court:

*Appelwick, J.*

*Becker, J.*

*Leach, a.c.j.*