

**COURT OF APPEALS  
DECISION  
DATED AND FILED**

**February 5, 2003**

Cornelia G. Clark  
Clerk of Court of Appeals

**NOTICE**

This opinion is subject to further editing. If published, the official version will appear in the bound volume of the Official Reports.

A party may file with the Supreme Court a petition to review an adverse decision by the Court of Appeals. See WIS. STAT. § 808.10 and RULE 809.62.

**Appeal No. 02-1691  
STATE OF WISCONSIN**

**Cir. Ct. No. 01-TR-13416**

**IN COURT OF APPEALS  
DISTRICT II**

---

**STATE OF WISCONSIN,**

**PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT,**

**v.**

**WILLIAM K. BROWN,**

**DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.**

---

APPEAL from an order of the circuit court for Waukesha County:  
MICHAEL O. BOHREN, Judge. *Affirmed.*

¶1 NETTESHEIM, P.J.<sup>1</sup> William K. Brown appeals from an order revoking his driving privileges for refusing to submit to a chemical test pursuant to the implied consent law, WIS. STAT. § 343.305. Brown contends that the statute

---

<sup>1</sup> This appeal is decided by one judge pursuant to WIS. STAT. § 752.31(2)(c) (1999-2000). All statutory references are to the 1999-2000 version.

unconstitutionally coerces consent by threatening the revocation of the suspect's driving privileges. We recently rejected this very argument in *State v. Wintlend*, 2002 WI App 314, No. 02-0965-CR. We affirm on the basis of *Wintlend*.

*By the Court.*—Order affirmed.

This opinion will not be published. See WIS. STAT. RULE 809.23(1)(b)4.

