

## SUPREME COURT OF WISCONSIN

---

Case No.: 98-0248-CR

---

Complete Title  
Of Case:

State of Wisconsin,  
Plaintiff-Respondent,  
v.  
William Strong,  
Defendant-Appellant-Petitioner.

---

REVIEW OF A DECISION OF THE COURT OF APPEALS  
Reported at: 229 Wis. 2d 733  
(Ct. App. 1999-Unpublished)

---

Opinion Filed: June 16, 2000  
Submitted on Briefs:  
Oral Argument: May 31, 2000

---

Source of APPEAL  
COURT: Circuit Court  
COUNTY: Marathon  
JUDGE: Vincent K. Howard

---

JUSTICES:  
Concurred:  
Dissented:  
Not Participating: BRADLEY, J., did not participate.

---

ATTORNEYS: For the defendant-appellant-petitioner there were  
briefs and oral argument by *Jack E. Schairer*, assistant state  
public defender.

For the plaintiff-respondent the cause was argued  
by *Paul G. Lundsten*, assistant attorney general, with whom on the  
brief was *James E. Doyle*, attorney general.

**NOTICE**

This opinion is subject to further editing and modification. The final version will appear in the bound volume of the official reports.

No. 98-0248-CR

STATE OF WISCONSIN

:

IN SUPREME COURT

---

**State of Wisconsin,**

**FILED**

**Plaintiff-Respondent,**

**JUN 16, 2000**

**v.**

**William Strong,**

**Cornelia G. Clark  
Clerk of Supreme Court  
Madison, WI**

**Defendant-Appellant-Petitioner.**

---

REVIEW of a decision of the Court of Appeals. *Affirmed.*

¶1 PER CURIAM. The court is equally divided on the question of whether the decision of the court of appeals should be affirmed or reversed. Justice JON P. WILCOX, Justice N. PATRICK CROOKS, and Justice DIANE S. SYKES would affirm; Chief Justice SHIRLEY S. ABRAHAMSON, Justice WILLIAM A. BABLITCH, and Justice DAVID T. PROSSER would reverse. Justice ANN WALSH BRADLEY did not participate.

¶2 Accordingly, the decision of the court of appeals is affirmed.

