2000 WI 23

SUPREME C	COURT OF	WISCONSIN
-----------	----------	-----------

Case No.:	98-2792-CR
Complete Title of Case:	
of Case.	State of Wisconsin,
	Plaintiff-Respondent-Petitioner,
	V.
	Michael M. Longcore, Defendant-Appellant.
	REVIEW OF A DECISION OF THE COURT OF APPEALS Reported at: 226 Wis. 2d 1, 594 N.W.2d 412 (Ct. App. 1999-Published)
Opinion Filed:	March 10, 2000
Submitted on Briefs:	
Oral Argument:	February 10, 2000
Source of APPEAL	
COURT:	Circuit
COUNTY:	Brown
JUDGE:	Susan E. Bischel
JUSTICES:	
Concurred:	
Dissented:	
Not Participating:	CROOKS, J.
ATTORNEYS:	For the plaintiff-respondent-petitioner the cause
was argued by J_{e}	ennifer E. Nashold, assistant attorney general,
WILLI WHOM ON THE	e briefs was <i>James E. Doyle</i> , attorney general.

For the defendant-appellant there was a brief and oral argument by *William E. Schmaal*, assistant state public defender.

NOTICE

This opinion is subject to further editing and modification. The final version will appear in the bound volume of the official reports.

No. 98-2792-CR

STATE OF WISCONSIN : IN SUPREME COURT

State of Wisconsin,

Plaintiff-Respondent-Petitioner,

v.

Michael M. Longcore,

Defendant-Appellant.

FILED

MAR 10, 2000

Cornelia G. Clark Acting Clerk of Supreme Court Madison, WI

REVIEW of a decision of the Court of Appeals. Affirmed.

¶1 PER CURIAM. The court is equally divided on the question of whether the decision of the court of appeals should be affirmed or reversed. Chief Justice SHIRLEY S. ABRAHAMSON, Justice WILLIAM A. BABLITCH, and Justice DAVID T. PROSSER would affirm; Justice JON P. WILCOX, Justice ANN WALSH BRADLEY, and Justice DIANE S. SYKES would reverse. Justice N. PATRICK CROOKS did not participate. Accordingly, the decision of the court of appeals is affirmed.

No. 98-2792-CR