SUPREME COURT OF WISCONSIN

Case No.: 98-3139-CR

Complete Title of Case:

State of Wisconsin,

Plaintiff-Respondent-Petitioner,

v.

Ondra Bond,

Defendant-Appellant.

REVIEW OF A DECISION OF THE COURT OF APPEALS

2000 WI App 118

Reported at: 237 Wis. 2d 633, 614 N.W.2d 552

(Published)

Opinion Filed: Submitted on Briefs:

Oral Argument: May 2, 2001

Source of APPEAL

COURT: Circuit
COUNTY: Milwaukee

JUDGE: Jeffrey A. Wagner

JUSTICES:

Concurred: Dissented:

Not Participating: SYKES, J., did not participate.

ATTORNEYS: For the petitioner-respondent-petitioner the cause was argued by *Marguerite M. Moeller*, assistant attorney general, with whom on the briefs was *James E. Doyle*, attorney general.

For the defendant-appellant there was a brief and oral argument by *Ellen Henak*, assistant state public defender.

NOTICE

This opinion is subject to further editing and modification. The final version will appear in the bound volume of the official reports.

No. 98-3139-CR

STATE OF WISCONSIN

IN SUPREME COURT

State of Wisconsin,

FILED

Plaintiff-Respondent-Petitioner,

MAY 31, 2001

v.

Ondra Bond,

Cornelia G. Clark Clerk of Supreme Court Madison, WI

Defendant-Appellant.

REVIEW of a decision of the Court of Appeals. Affirmed.

¶1 PER CURIAM. The court is equally divided on whether to affirm or reverse the decision of the court of appeals. Chief Justice Shirley S. Abrahamson, Justice Ann Walsh Bradley, and Justice David T. Prosser would affirm; Justice William A. Bablitch, Justice Jon P. Wilcox, and Justice N. Patrick Crooks would reverse. Justice Diane S. Sykes did not participate.

 $\P 2$ Accordingly, the decision of the court of appeals is affirmed.